UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,044	08/04/2003	Hidetake Segawa	16870	5278
23389 7590 12/28/2007 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			LEUBECKER, JOHN P	
SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT	Y. NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/634,044	SEGAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
*		John P. Leubecker	3739
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SH WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION.  reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Oct.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 12 Oct.	action is non-final.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-32 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-31 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1,2,4-14 and 32 are subject to restriction	n from consideration.	ement.
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Correction of the Correction of the Correction of the October 1 of the Correction of the October 1 of the October 1 of the October 1 of the October 2 of the October 2 of the October 2 of the October 2 of the October 3 of the Oc	epted or b)  objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyaron is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application 

10/634,044

Art Unit: 3739

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 32, drawn to an assembling method, classified in class348, subclass 340.
  - II. Claims 2, 5, 7, 9 and 11-14 drawn to capsule medical apparatus classified in class600, subclass 167.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to an unrelated product and process. Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, the process of independent claim 1 does not set forth steps to produce, arrange or even combine a guiding frame or a moving frame with the other elements of the capsule device recited in claim 1. However, the product of independent claim 2 requires such guiding frame and moving frame in the combination. The additional elements of claim 2 allow for a functioning (e.g., focusing) that this not provided for in the process of invention I.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

10/634,044

Art Unit: 3739

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

10/634,044

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John P. Leubecker/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

jpl